1	Shaun Setareh (SBN 204514) shaun@setarehlaw.com	
2	H. Scott Leviant (SBN 200834)	
3	scott@setarehlaw.com SETAREH LAW GROUP	
4	9454 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 907	
5	Beverly Hills, California 90212 Telephone: (310) 888-7771	
6	Facsimile: (310) 888-0109	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff, MARCUS CHISM	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	MARCUS CHISM, on behalf of himself, all others similarly situated,	Case No.:
13		CLASS ACTION
14	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR:
15	VS.	1. Violation of 15 U.S.C. §§
16	PEPSICO, INC., a North Carolina Corporation;	1681b(b)(2)(A) (Fair Credit Reporting Act);
17	FRITO-LAY, INC., a Delaware Corporation; FIRST ADVANTAGE BACKGROUND SERVICES CORP., a Florida Corporation; and	2. Violation of 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681d(a)(1) and 1681g(c) (Fair Credit Reporting
	DOES 1 to 100, Inclusive	Act); 3. Violation of California Civil Code §
19	Defendants.	1786 et seq. (Investigative Consumer
20		Reporting Agencies Act) 4. Violation of California Civil Code §
21		1785 et seq. (Consumer Credit
22		Reporting Agencies Act)
23		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Chism v. PepsiCo, Inc.	Class Action Complaint

4

7

6

9

8

11 12

10

13

15

14

16 17

Τ,

18

20

21

22

24

25 26

27

28

Plaintiff, MARCUS CHISM (hereafter "Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, complains and alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- Plaintiff brings this class and representative action against defendant PEPSICO INC., a North Carolina corporation ("PEPSICO"), FRITO-LAY, INC., a Delaware corporation ("FRITO-LAY"); FIRST ADVANTAGE BACKGROUND SERVICES CORP, a Florida corporation ("FIRST ADVANTAGE"); and DOES 1-100, inclusively (collectively, "Defendants") for alleged violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA") and similar California laws.
- 2. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants routinely acquire consumer, investigative consumer and/or consumer credit reports (referred to collectively as "credit and background reports") to conduct background checks on Plaintiff and other prospective, current and former employees and use information from credit and background reports in connection with their hiring process without complying with the law. Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated current, former, and prospective employees, seeks compensatory and punitive damages due to Defendants' systematic and willful violations of the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681 et seq., the California Investigative Consumer Reporting Agencies Act ("ICRAA") (Cal. Civ. Code § 1786, et seq.), and the California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act ("CCRAA") (Cal. Civ. Code § 1785, et seq.).

PARTIES

- Plaintiff was employed by Defendant as an hourly, non-exempt employee working in the State of California from approximately October 2015 to September 2016.
- Defendant PEPSICO is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of North Carolina and also a citizen of California based on Plaintiff's information and belief.
- Defendant FRITO-LAY is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of
 Delaware and also a citizen of California based on Plaintiff's information and belief.
- Defendant FIRST ADVANTAGE is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Florida and also a citizen of California based on Plaintiff's information and belief.
- 10. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names, capacities, relationships, and extents of participation in the conduct alleged herein, of the defendants sued as DOES 1-100, inclusive, but is informed and believes and thereon alleges that said defendants are legally responsible for

the wrongful conduct alleged herein and therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend the Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of the DOE defendants when ascertained.

- 11. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, at all relevant times herein, all Defendants were the agents, employees and/or servants, masters or employers of the remaining defendants, and in doing the things hereinafter alleged, were acting within the course and scope of such agency or employment, and with the approval and ratification of each of the other Defendants.
- 12. Plaintiff alleges that each and every one of the acts and omissions alleged herein were performed by, and/or attributable to, all Defendants, each acting as agents and/or employees, and/or under the direction and control of each of the other defendants, and that said acts and failures to act were within the course and scope of said agency, employment and/or direction and control.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 13. This action has been brought and may be maintained as a class action pursuant to FRCP §23 because there is a well-defined community of interest among the persons who comprise the readily ascertainable classes defined below and because Plaintiff is unaware of any difficulties likely to be encountered in managing this case as a class action.
 - 14. Class Definitions: The classes are defined as follows:
- A. FCRA Class: All of Defendants' current, former and prospective applicants for employment in the United States who applied for a job with Defendants at any time during the period beginning five years prior to the filing of this action and ending on the date that final judgment is entered in this action.
- B. ICRAA Class: All of Defendant's current, former, and prospective applicants for employment in California, at any time during the period beginning five years prior to the filing of this action and ending on the date that final judgment is entered into this action.
- C. CCRAA Class: All of Defendant's current, former, and prospective applicants for employment in California, at any time during the period beginning seven years prior to the filing of this action and ending on the date that final judgment is entered in this action.

- 15. <u>Numerosity:</u> The class members are so numerous that the individual joinder of each individual class member is impractical. While Plaintiff does not currently know the exact number of class members, Plaintiff is informed and believes that the actual number exceeds the minimum required for numerosity under California law.
- 16. <u>Commonality and Predominance:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all class members and predominate over any questions which affect only individual class members. These questions include, but are not limited to:
- A. Wherein Defendants willfully failed to provide the class with stand-alone written disclosures before obtaining a credit or background report in compliance with the statutory mandates?
- B. Whether Defendants willfully failed to identify the name, address, telephone number, and/or website of the investigative consumer reporting agency conducting the investigation?
- C. Whether Defendants willfully failed to identify the source of the credit report to be performed?
- D. Wherein Defendants willfully failed to comply with the FCRA, ICRAA and/or the CRAA?
- 17. **Typicality:** Plaintiff's claims are typical of the other class members' claims. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants have a policy, practice or a lack of a policy which resulted in Defendants failing to comply with the FCRA, ICRAA, and CCRAA as alleged herein.
- 18. Adequacy of Class Representative: Plaintiff is an adequate class representative in that he has no interests that are adverse to, or otherwise in conflict with, the interests of absent class members. Plaintiff is dedicated to vigorously prosecuting this action on behalf of class members. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of class members.
- 19. <u>Adequacy of Class Counsel:</u> Plaintiff's counsel are adequate class counsel in that they have no known conflicts of interest with Plaintiff or absent class members, are experienced in class action litigation and are dedicated to vigorously prosecuting this action on behalf of Plaintiff and absent class members.
 - 20. Superiority: A class action is vastly superior to other available means for fair

and efficient adjudication of class members' claims and would be beneficial to the parties and the Court. Class action treatment will allow a number of similarly situated persons to simultaneously and efficiently prosecute their common claims in a single forum without the unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that numerous individual actions would entail. In addition, the monetary amounts due to many individual class members are likely to be relatively small and would thus make it difficult, if not impossible, for individual class members to both seek and obtain relief. Moreover, a class action will serve an important public interest by permitting class members to effectively pursue the recovery of monies owed to them. Further, a class action will prevent the potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments inherent in individual litigation.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO MAKE PROPER DISCLOSURE IN VIOLATION OF THE FCRA (15 U.S.C. §§ 1681b(b)(2)(A))

(By Plaintiff and the FCRA Class against all Defendants)

- 21. Plaintiff incorporates all paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully alleged herein.
- 22. Defendants are "persons" as defined by Section 1681a(b) of the FCRA.
- 23. Plaintiff and class members are "consumers" within the meaning Section 1681a(c) of the FCRA, because they are "individuals."
 - 24. Section 1681a(d)(1) of the FCRA defines "consumer report" as any oral, or other communication of any information by a consumer reporting agency bearing on a consumer's credit worthiness, credit standing, credit capacity, character, general reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living which is used or expected to be used or collected in whole or in part for the purpose of serving as a factor in establishing the consumer's eligibility" for employment purposes.

Thus a credit and background report qualifies as a consumer report.

25. Section 1681a(e) of the FCRA defines "investigative consumer report" as: a consumer report or portion thereof in which information on a consumer's character, general reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living is obtained through personal interviews with neighbors, friends, or associates of the consumer reported on or with whom he is acquainted or who may have knowledge concerning any such items of information.

Thus a credit and background report qualifies as an investigative consumer report.

Section 1681b(b) of the FCRA provides, in relevant part:
 Conditions for furnishing and using consumer reports for employment purposes

(2) Disclosure to consumer

(A) In general

Except as provided in subparagraph (B), a person may not procure a consumer report, or cause a consumer report to be procured, for employment purposes with respect to any consumer, unless—

- a clear and conspicuous disclosure has been made in writing to the consumer at any time before the report is procured or caused to be procured, in a document that consists solely of the disclosure, that a consumer report may be obtained for employment purposes; and
- ii. the consumer has authorized in writing (which authorization may be made on the document referred to in clause (i)) the procurement of the report by that person. (Emphasis Added).
- 27. As described above, Plaintiff alleges, on information and belief, that in evaluating him and other class members for employment, Defendants procured or caused to be prepared credit and background reports (i.e., a consumer report and/or investigative consumer report, as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d)(1)(B) and 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(e)).
- 28. When Plaintiff applied for employment with Defendants, Defendants did not provide Plaintiff with required Disclosures and Authorizations ("Disclosure").
- 29. Instead, Defendants only provided a Notice ("Notice") which states in pertinent part:

IMPORTANT NOTICE UNDER CALIFORNIA LAW

First Advantage does not guarantee the accuracy or truthfulness of the information as the subject of the investigation, but only that it is accurately copied from public records. Information generated as a result of identity theft, including evidence of criminal activity, may be inaccurately associated with the consumer who is the subject of this report. In California, First Advantage shall provide a consumer seeking to obtain a copy of a report or making a request to review a file, a written notice in simple, plain English and Spanish setting forth the terms and conditions of his or her right to receive all disclosures.

30. Here, there is no disclosure stating that an investigative consumer report will be

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

20

22

25

26

24

27

28

procured for employment purposes.

- 31. Moreover, the consumer has not authorized in writing the procurement of the report. Here, Plaintiff has not signed any authorization.
- 32. Because Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with any Disclosures or Authorizations, Defendants do not meet the requirements under the law.
- 33. Under the FCRA, it is unlawful to procure or caused to be procured, a consumer report or investigative consumer report for employment purposes unless the disclosure is made in a document that consists solely of the disclosure and the consumer has authorized, in writing, the procurement of the report. 15 U.S.C. § 1681b(b)(2)(A)(i)-(ii).
- 34. Defendants' conduct in violation of Section 1681b(b)(2)(A) of the FCRA was and is willful. Defendants acted in deliberate or reckless disregard of their obligations and the rights of applicants and employees, including Plaintiff and class members. Defendants' willful conduct is reflected by, among other things, the following facts:
 - (a) Defendants are a large corporation with access to legal advice;
- (b) Defendants required a purported authorization to perform credit and background checks in the process of employing the class members which, although defective, evidences Defendants' awareness of and willful failure to follow the governing laws concerning such authorizations;
- (c) The plain language of the statute unambiguously indicates that inclusion of a liability release and other extraneous information in a disclosure form violates the disclosure and authorization requirements; and
- (d) The FTC's express statements, pre-dating Defendants' conduct, which state that it is a violation of Section 1681b(b)(2)(A) of the FCRA to include a liability waiver in the FCRA disclosure form.
- 35. Based upon the facts likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants have a policy and practice of procuring investigative consumer reports or causing investigative consumer reports to be procured for applicants and employees without informing such applicants of their right to request a summary of their rights under the FCRA at the same time as the disclosure explaining that an investigative consumer report may be made. Pursuant to that policy and practice, Defendants procured investigative consumer reports or caused investigative

consumer reports to be procured for Plaintiff and class members, as described above, without informing class members of their rights to request a written summary of their rights under the FCRA.

- 36. Accordingly, Defendants willfully violated and continue to violate the FCRA including, but not limited to, §§ 1681b(b)(2)(A) and 1681d(a). Defendants' willful conduct is reflected by, among other things, the facts set forth above.
- 37. As a result of Defendants' illegal procurement of credit and background reports by way of their inadequate disclosures, as set forth above, Plaintiff and class members have been injured including, but not limited to, having their privacy and statutory rights invaded in violation of the FCRA.
- 38. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all class members, seeks all available remedies pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n, including statutory damages and/or actual damages, punitive damages, injunctive and equitable relief and attorneys' fees and costs.
- 39. In the alternative to Plaintiff's allegation that these violations were willful, Plaintiff alleges that the violations were negligent and seeks the appropriate remedy, if any, under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o, including actual damages and attorneys' fees and costs.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO GIVE PROPER SUMMARY OF RIGHTS IN VIOLATION OF FCRA

(15 U.S.C. § 1681d(a)(1) and 1681g(c))

(By Plaintiff and the FCRA Class against all Defendants)

- 40. Plaintiff incorporates all paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully alleged herein.
- 41. Section 1681d(a)(1) provides:

Disclosure of fact of preparation

A person may not procure or cause to be prepared an investigative consumer report on any consumer unless—

- (1) it is *clearly and accurately disclosed* to the consumer that an investigative consumer report including information as to his character, general reputation, personal characteristics, and mode of living, whichever are applicable, may be made, and such disclosure;
- (2) is *made in a writing mailed, or otherwise delivered*, to the consumer, not later than three days after the date on which the report was first requested, and

(3) includes a statement informing the consumer of his right to request the additional disclosures provided for under subsection (b) of this section and the written summary of the rights of the consumer prepared pursuant to section 1681g(c) of this title; (Emphasis Added.)

(4) Subsection (b) of Section 1681d(a)(1) provides:

Any person who procures or causes to be prepared an investigative consumer report on any consumer shall, upon written request made by the consumer within a reasonable period of time after the receipt by him of the disclosure required by subsection (a)(1) of this section (a)(1) of this section, make a *complete and accurate disclosure of the nature and scope of the investigation requested*; (Emphasis Added). This disclosure shall be made in a writing mailed, or otherwise delivered, to the consumer not later than five days after the date on which the request for such disclosure was received from the consumer or such report was first requested, whichever is the later.

- 42. Defendant did not comply with Section 1681d(a)(1).
- 43. Section 1681g(c) further provides summary of rights to obtain and dispute information in consumer reports and to obtain credit scores as:

(A) Commission summary of rights required

The Commission shall prepare a *model summary of the rights* of consumers under this subchapter.

(B) Content of summary

The summary of rights prepared under subparagraph (A) shall include a description of—

- the right of a consumer to obtain a copy of a consumer report under subsection (a) of this section from each consumer reporting agency;
- (2) the frequency and circumstances under which a consumer is entitled to receive a consumer report without charge under section 1681j of this title;
- (3) the right of a consumer to *dispute information* in the file of the consumer under section 1681i of this title;
- (4) the right of a consumer to obtain a credit score from a consumer reporting agency, and a description of how to obtain a credit score;
- (5) the method by which a consumer can contact, and obtain a consumer report from, a consumer reporting agency without charge, as provided in the regulations of the Bureau prescribed under section 211(c) of the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003; and
- the method by which a consumer can contact, and obtain a consumer report from, a consumer reporting agency described in section 1681a(w) of this title, as provided in the regulations of the Bureau prescribed under section 1681j(a)(1)(C) of this title; (Emphasis Added).

- 44. Defendant did not comply with 1681g(c)(1)(B)(ii) because the summary of rights did not include the right of a consumer to obtain a copy of a consumer report under section 1681j of this title.
- 45. Defendant did not comply with 1681g(c)(1)(B)(ii) because the summary of rights did not include the frequency and circumstances under which a consumer is entitled to receive a consumer report without charge under section 1681j of this title.
- 46. Defendant did not comply with 1681g(c)(1)(B)(iii) because the summary of rights did not include the right of the consumer to dispute information in the file of the consumer under section 1681i of this title.
- 47. Defendant did not comply with 1681g(c)(1)(B)(iv) because the summary of rights did not include the right of the consumer to obtain a credit score from a consumer reporting agency, and a description of how to obtain a credit score.
- 48. Defendant did not comply with 1681g(c)(1)(B)(v) because the summary of rights did not include the method by which a consumer can contact, and obtain a consumer report from, a consumer reporting agency without charge, as provided in the regulations of the Bureau prescribed under section 211(c) of the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003
- 49. Defendant did not comply with 1681g(c)(1)(B)(vi) because the summary of rights did not include the method by which a consumer can contact, and obtain a consumer report from, a consumer reporting agency described in section 1681a(w) of this title, as provided in the regulations of the Bureau prescribed under section 1681j(a)(1)(C) of this title.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO MAKE PROPER DISCLOSURE IN VIOLATION OF ICRAA

(Cal. Civ. Code § 1786 et seq.)

(By Plaintiff and the ICRAA Class against all Defendants)

- 50. Plaintiff incorporates all paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully alleged herein.
- 51. Defendants are "persons" as defined by Section 1786.2(a) of the Investigative Consumer Reporting Agencies Act ("ICRAA").
- 52. Plaintiff and ICRAA Class members are "consumers" within the meaning Section 1786.2(b) of the ICRAA, because they are "individuals."
 - 53. Section 1786.2(c) of the ICRAA defines "investigative consumer report" as:

a consumer report in which information on a consumer's character, general reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living is obtained through any means.

- 54. Thus a background checks qualifies as an investigative consumer report under the ICRAA
 - 55. Section 1786.16(a)(2) of the ICRAA provides, in relevant part:
 If, at any time, an investigative consumer report is sought for employment purposes...the person seeking the investigative consumer report may procure the report, or cause the report to be made, only if all of the following apply:
 - (B) The person procuring or causing the report to be made provides a *clear and conspicuous* disclosure in writing to the consumer at any time before the report is procured or caused to be made *in a document that consists solely of the disclosure*, that:
 - (i) An investigative consumer report may be obtained.
 - (ii) The permissible purpose of the report is identified.
 - (iii) The disclosure may include information on the consumer's character, general reputation, personal characteristics, and mode of living.
 - (iv) Identifies the *name*, address, and telephone number of the investigative consumer reporting agency conducting the investigation.
 - (v) Notifies the consumer in writing of the nature and scope of the investigation requested, including the provisions of Section 1786.22.
 - (vi) Notifies the consumer of the Internet Web site address of the investigative consumer reporting agency identified in clause (iv), or, if the agency has no Internet Web site address, the telephone number of the agency, where the consumer may find information about the investigative reporting agency's privacy practices, including whether the consumer's personal information will be sent outside the United States or its territories and information that complies with subdivision (d) of Section 1786.20. This clause shall become operative on January 1, 2012.
 - (C) The consumer has authorized in writing the procurement of the report. (Emphasis added.)
- 56. As described above, Plaintiff alleges that in evaluating him and other class members for employment, Defendants procured or caused to be prepared investigative consumer report (e.g. background checks), as defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1786.2(c).
- 57. When Plaintiff applied for employment with Defendants, Defendants did not provide Plaintiff with required Disclosures and Authorizations ("Disclosure").
- 58. Instead, Defendants only provided a Notice ("Notice") which states in pertinent part:

IMPORTANT NOTICE UNDER CALIFORNIA LAW

First Advantage does not guarantee the accuracy or truthfulness of the information as the subject of the investigation, but only that it is accurately copied from public records. Information generated as a result of identity theft, including evidence of criminal activity, may be inaccurately associated with the consumer who is the subject of this report. In California, First Advantage shall provide a consumer seeking to obtain a copy of a report or making a request to review a file, a written notice in simple, plain English and Spanish setting forth the terms and conditions of his or her right to receive all disclosures.

- 59. Here, there is no disclosure stating that an investigative consumer report will be procured for employment purposes.
- 60. Moreover, the consumer has not authorized in writing the procurement of the report. Here, Plaintiff has not signed any authorization.
- 61. Because Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with any Disclosures or Authorizations, they do not meet the requirements under the law.
- 62. By including extraneous information, Defendants willfully violated § 1786.16(a)(2)(B) of the ICRAA. Additionally, the inclusion of the extraneous provisions causes the disclosure to fail to be "clear and conspicuous" and thus violates § 1786.16(a)(2)(B).
- 63. Based upon facts that are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for investigation and discovery, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants have a policy and practice of failing to provide adequate written disclosures to applicants and employees, before procuring background checks or causing background checks to be procured, as described above. Pursuant to that policy and practice, Defendants procured background checks or caused background checks to be procured for Plaintiff and class members without first providing a written disclosure in compliance with § 1786.16(a)(2)(B) of the ICRAA, as described above.
- 64. Defendants' conduct in violation of § 1786.16(a)(2)(B) of the ICRAA was and is willful and/or grossly negligent. Defendants acted in deliberate or reckless disregard of their obligations and the rights of applicants and employees, including Plaintiff and class members. Defendants' willful conduct is reflected by, among other things, the following facts:
 - (e) Defendants are large corporations with access to legal advice;
- (f) Defendants required a purported authorization to perform credit and background checks in the process of employing the class members which, although defective,

27

28

evidences Defendants' awareness of and willful failure to follow the governing laws concerning such authorizations; and

- (g) The plain language of the statute unambiguously indicates that inclusion of a liability release and other extraneous information in a disclosure form violates the disclosure and authorization requirements, and that the disclosure form must contain the name, address, phone number, and/or website address of the investigative consumer reporting agency conducting the investigation.
- 65. As a result of Defendants' illegal procurement of background reports by way of their inadequate disclosures, as set forth above, Plaintiff and class members have been injured including, but not limited to, having their privacy and statutory rights invaded in violation of the ICRAA.
- 66. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all class members, seeks all available remedies pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1786.50, including statutory damages and/or actual damages, punitive damages, and attorneys' fees and costs.
- 67. In the alternative to Plaintiff's allegation that these violations were willful or grossly negligent, Plaintiff alleges that the violations were negligent and seeks the appropriate remedy, if any, under Cal. Civ. Code § 1786.50(a), including actual damages and attorneys' fees and costs.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO MAKE PROPER DISCLOSURE IN VIOLATION OF CCRAA

(Cal. Civ. Code § 1785 et seq.)

(By Plaintiff and the CCRAA Class against all Defendants)

- Plaintiff incorporates all paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully alleged herein.
- 69. Defendants are "persons" as defined by Section 1785.3(j) of the Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act ("CCRAA").
- 70. Plaintiff and CCRAA Class members are "consumers" within the meaning Section 1785.3(b) of the CCRAA, because they are "natural individuals."
 - 71. Section 1785.3(c) of the ICRAA defines "consumer credit report" as: any written, oral, or other communication of any information by a consumer credit reporting agency bearing on a consumer's credit worthiness, credit standing, or credit capacity, which is used or is expected to be used, or collected

Chism v. PepsiCo, Inc.

in whole or in part, for the purpose of serving as a factor in establishing the consumer's eligibility for: ...(2) employment purposes...

- Thus a credit report qualifies as a consumer credit report under the CCRAA.
 - 73. Section 1785.20.5(a) of the CCRAA provides, in relevant part:

Prior to requesting a consumer credit report for employment purposes, the user of the report shall provide written notice to the person involved. The notice shall inform the person that a report will be used, and shall identify the specific basis under subdivision (a) of Section 1024.5 of the Labor Code for use of the report. The notice shall also inform the person of the source of the report... (Emphasis added.)

- 74. As described above, Plaintiff alleges that in evaluating him and other class members for employment, Defendants procured or caused to be prepared consumer credit reports (e.g. credit reports), as defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.3(c).
- 75. When Plaintiff applied for employment with Defendants, Defendants did not provide Plaintiff with required Disclosures and Authorizations ("Disclosure").
- 76. Instead, Defendants only provided a Notice ("Notice") which states in pertinent part:

IMPORTANT NOTICE UNDER CALIFORNIA LAW

First Advantage does not guarantee the accuracy or truthfulness of the information as the subject of the investigation, but only that it is accurately copied from public records. Information generated as a result of identity theft, including evidence of criminal activity, may be inaccurately associated with the consumer who is the subject of this report. In California, First Advantage shall provide a consumer seeking to obtain a copy of a report or making a request to review a file, a written notice in simple, plain English and Spanish setting forth the terms and conditions of his or her right to receive all disclosures.

- 77. The Authorization does not identify the specific basis under subdivision (a) of Section 1024.5 of the Labor Code for use of the credit report. Nor does the Authorization identify the source of any credit report. Both of these omissions Authorization clearly violate § 1785.20.5(a) of the CCRAA, as delineated above.
- 78. Based upon facts that are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for investigation and discovery, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants have a policy and practice of failing to provide adequate written disclosures to applicants and employees, before

9

12

13

11

14 15

16

17

19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

procuring credit reports or causing credit reports to be procured, as described above. Pursuant to that policy and practice, Defendants procured credit reports or caused credit reports to be procured for Plaintiff and class members without first providing a written notice in compliance with § 1785.20.5(a) of the CCRAA, as described above.

- 79. Defendants' conduct in violation of § 1785.20.5(a) of the CCRAA was and is willful and/or grossly negligent. Defendants acted in deliberate or reckless disregard of their obligations and the rights of applicants and employees, including Plaintiff and class members. Defendants' willful conduct is reflected by, among other things, the following facts:
 - (h) Defendants are large corporations with access to legal advice;
- (i) Defendants required a purported authorization to perform credit checks in the process of employing the class members which, although defective, evidences Defendants' awareness of and willful failure to follow the governing laws concerning such authorizations; and
- (j) The plain language of the statute unambiguously indicates that failure to include the provisions identified above violates the CCRAA's notice requirements, and that the notice must identify the specific basis under subdivision (a) of Section 1024.5 of the Labor Code for use of the credit report and must identify the source of any credit report.
- 80. As a result of Defendants' illegal procurement of credit reports by way of their inadequate notice, as set forth above, Plaintiff and class members have been injured including, but not limited to, having their privacy and statutory rights invaded in violation of the CCRAA.
- 81. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all class members, seeks all available remedies pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31, including statutory damages and/or actual damages, punitive damages, injunctive relief, and attorneys' fees and costs.
- 82. In the alternative to Plaintiff's allegation that these violations were willful, Plaintiff alleges that the violations were negligent and seeks the appropriate remedy, if any, under Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(a)(1), including but not limited to actual damages and attorneys' fees and costs.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, prays for relief and judgment against Defendants as follows:

A. An order that the action be certified as a class action;

1 B. An order that Plaintiff be appointed class representative; C. An order that counsel for Plaintiff be appointed class counsel; 2 D. Statutory penalties; 3 E. Civil penalties; 4 F. Punitive damages; 5 G. Injunctive relief; 6 H. Costs of suit; 7 I. Interest; 8 J. Reasonable attorneys' fees; and 9 K. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper. 10 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, hereby demands a jury 11 trial on all issues so triable. 12 13 Respectfully submitted, Dated: January 11, 2017 14 15 SETAREH LAW GROUP 16 By /s/Shaun Setareh 17 SHAUN SETAREH. H. SCOTT LEVIANT 18 Attorney for Plaintiff, MARCUS CHISM 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Chism v. PepsiCo, Inc.

Class Action Complaint